1	MARIO N. ALIOTO, ESQ. (56433) LAUREN C. CAPURRO, ESQ. (241151) TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP 2001 Union Street, Suite 482 San Francisco, CA 94123 Telephone: (415) 563-7200 Facsimile: (415) 346-0679 E-mail: malioto@tatp.com laurenrussell@tatp.com	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Lead Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs	
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	OAKLAND DIVISION	
13		
14	IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION) Master File No. 4:07-cv-5944-JST
15		MDL No. 1917
16	This Document Relates to:)) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
17	Indirect-Purchaser Class Action)) INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'
18		NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
19) TO LIMIT ARGUMENT THAT PRICE-
20) FIXING WAS PRO-COMPETITIVE OR) NECESSARY
21)
22)
23)
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
I	I	

[PROPOSED] ORDER Upon consideration of Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' ("Plaintiffs") Motion In Limine No. 7 to limit argument that price fixing was pro-competitive or necessary, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED, and at trial, Irico Defendants may provide evidence or argument to rebut the Plaintiffs' claim that the existence of information exchanges is indicative of an underlying agreement to fix prices. However, Irico Defendants shall not present any other evidence or argument regarding allegedly pro-competitive or other justifications for their price-fixing. Plaintiffs may make Rule 403 objections at trial if they believe the probative value of relevant evidence is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice. Dated: The Honorable Jon S. Tigar United States District Judge Northern District of California